



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10N081	29-Nov-11	20:10	M1a - Harbour Road Sports Centre	75	Leq(5-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Tonnochy Road and noise from training activities at swimming pool were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Review the baseline noise level at this monitoring station.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work for Contract no. HK/2009/02 was conducted during the measurement; It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>
X_10N082	6-Dec-11	20:20	M1a - Harbour Road Sports Centre	73	Leq(5-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Tonnochy Road and noise from training activities at swimming pool were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Review the baseline noise level at this monitoring station.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work for Contract no. HK/2009/02 was conducted during the measurement; It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>
X_10N083	20-Dec-11	14:38	M7e - IFC-Eastern End of Prodiium	76	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Traffic noise contributed in front of car park of IFC during monitoring</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Immediate repeated measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedance.</p> <p>The noise levels of repeat-measurement at the same location as below: 20 December 2011 15:08 77 dB (A)</p> <p>Traffic jam under the noise monitoring station were observed during monitoring. Some unavoidable car honking sounds from cars were emitted during monitoring. It was considered the noise sources contributed to the monitoring result.</p> <p>According to the EIA report of CWB&IECL, all recommended noise mitigation measures were observed implemented by contractor during noise monitoring. Reviewing the noise level results at M7e, no exceedance was recorded if there is no abnormal noise source emitted near the noise monitoring station and all mitigation measures are implemented by contractor.</p> <p>To conclude, the exceedance was considered non-project related.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: The noise level exceedance was considered due to contribution of abnormal noise source near the monitoring station.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10N084	10-Jan-12	20:35	M1a - Harbour Road Sports Centre	71	Leq(5-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Tonnochy Road and noise from training activities at swimming pool were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Review the baseline noise level at this monitoring station.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work for Contract no. HK/2009/02 was conducted during the measurement; It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>